

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/788,448	03/01/2004	Yasumitsu Fujino	017849-022	1001		
21839	7590 02/16/2006		EXAMINER			
	AN INGERSOLL PC	RODEE, CHRISTOPHER D				
(INCLUDING BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS) POST OFFICE BOX 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	RIA, VA 22313-1404	1756				
	•		DATE MAILED: 02/16/200	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						\langle					
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)							
		10/788,	448	FUJINO ET AL.							
Office Action Summary			er	Art Unit	Γ						
			her RoDee	1756							
Dariad 6	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
	• •		TO EVEIDE - N	ONT. (O) OD T. (IDT) ((O							
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N Insions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com Diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum s ure to reply within the set or extended period for repl reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of munication. statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	FHIS COMMUNION PRINTED FOR THE	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).							
Status											
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on									
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition	•		ers, prosecution as to the	e merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.											
Disposit	ion of Claims										
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the	application.									
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.										
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or election re	equirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers										
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.									
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a)⊟ accepted or l	o) objected to	by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any obje										
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).											
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. N	Note the attached	d Office Action or form P7	ΓΟ-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119										
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:											
,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage										
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).										
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.											
Attachmen	t(s)										
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			ummary (PTO-413)							
2) ∐ Notic 3) ⊠ Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or	PTO-948) · PTO/SB/08\		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)						
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/1/04</u> .		6) Other:		·,						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a toner, classified in class 430, subclass 110.2.
- II. Claims 13-20, drawn to a method of making a toner, classified in class 430, subclass 137.14.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as the process disclosed in Teshima *et al.* in US Patent Application Publication 2002/0160289, the third aspect of the invention, particularly Example 5.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with George Lesmes on 13 February 2006 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-12. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 13-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,448 Page 3

Art Unit: 1756

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Objections

Claims 1-12 are objected to because of the following informalities: the instant claims specify that the wax is added to at least one of the coating layer(s) but for the outermost coating layer, and the core particles. A review of the specification shows that the wax is present in the coating layer and/or the core but not the outermost coating layer (see ¶¶ [0023] & [0063]; Examples). The claim as currently phrased is awkward and does require reference to the specification for clarification. Appropriate correction is required in order to improve the readability of the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §§ 102 & 103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Teshima et al. in US Patent Application Publication 2002/0160289.

Teshima discloses a toner in Example 5 having a 6 µm core (i.e., colored resin particles) comprising a styrene-butyl acrylate binder resin, a colorant, a negative charge control agent, and a polywax releasing agent (¶¶ [0163] – [0172]). Two coating layers are formed on the core particles: an inner releasing agent layer comprising the polywax and an outer styrene-acrylic copolymer layer having a Tg of 65 °C (¶¶ [0173] – [0175]). The inner layer has a thickness of 0.006 µm while the outer resin layer has a thickness of 0.18 µm. The total toner particle has a diameter of 6.3 µm. The ratio of the coating layers thickness to that of the core particle is 0.31 (i.e., 0.186 / 6). Examples 6-8 appear to be similarly applicable. As discussed more generally in the specification, the toner has colored resin particles with releasing agent particles dispersed in a binder resin, and encapsulating resin particles fixedly fused to the surface of each colored resin particle to form a resin coating layer thereon, characterized in that each colored particle is coated with a resin coating layer with a releasing agent layer between the core the resin coating layer (¶ [0012]).

The reference does not form the core particles by the same method as specified in the product-by-process limitation of claim 1. However, it appears that the same product is present because the core particles have the requisite size, structure, and components of the instant claims. Further, the colorant appears to be well dispersed into the copolymer binder resin because the masterbatch colorant. Because the Examiner has set forth reasons why the core appears to be the same in the reference as claimed, it is incumbent on applicant to show that the claimed product is necessarily different from that of the applied art. See MPEP 2113.

Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teshima et al. in US Patent Application Publication 2002/0160289.

Teshima was discussed above and that discussion is incorporated here. In addition to the invention's features discussed above, the reference also discloses each colored resin particle is coated with said resin coating layer with the releasing agent is interleaved between them. The resin coating layer has a thickness of 0.05 μ m to 1 μ m while the releasing agent layer has a thickness of 0.001 μ m to 0.01 μ m and preferably 0.004 μ m to 0.008 μ m (¶ [0093]). The reference also discloses that the colored resin particles have a size of 3 to 10 μ m (¶ [0041]).

Although the reference does not appear to identically disclose a toner having the claimed covering layer thickness combined with it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to produce a toner with a coating layer thickness of about 0.2 µm because the reference specifically discloses a size of 0.18 µm and provides a general disclosed size of from 0.51 µm (0.05 + 0.001) to 1.01 µm (1 + 0.1). Given the broad teachings and the specific examples, the artisan would have found it obvious to give a total covering layer thickness slightly larger than that exemplified as part of the routine experimentation expected of the artisan while still operating within the scope of the invention. Similarly, using a slightly smaller core particle, such as 3 µm, would have been obvious given the specific disclosure of this size for the core particles. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use these suggested core diameters and layer thicknesses while also maintaining the about the same ratio of coating layer thickness to core size as exemplified in the Examples because the artisan would seek to

optimize the characteristics of the toner using the exemplified toner characteristics as a starting point.

Claims 1-6 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anno et al. in US Patent 5,204,205.

Anno *et al.* in discloses a toner having a core and two layers (Abstract). The core contains a styrene-acrylic resin or a polyester (Abstract), a coloring agent (col. 10, I. 37-46; Core Particles SI in col. 16), and a releasing agent (col. 16, I. 47-68; note polypropylene). The intermediate and outer layers of Anno contain a styrene-acrylic copolymer(s). The coating layers are no more than 1/5 the thickness of the core particles (col. 14, I. 26-44). Core Particle SIII contains a radically polymerizable acid monomer in an amount of 2 weight percent based on the core resin. See Table 1 for the resin particles used to form the core covering layers. The core has a size of 1 to 20 µm, preferably 3-15 µm (col. 10, I. 66-68).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a polypropylene wax in the core particle of core particle SIII because the reference specifically discloses polypropylene wax as an effective adjuvant in the core and the artisan. The artisan would also have found it obvious to optimize the thickness of the intermediate layer and outermost surface layer on the core at 1/5 that of the core or less because the reference provides guidance that these thicknesses are effective for the toner core. The reference does not form the core particles by the same method as specified in the product-by-process limitation of claim 1. However, it appears that the same product is present because the core particles have the requisite size, structure, and components of the instant claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,448

Art Unit: 1756

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Christopher RoDee whose telephone number is 571-272-1388. The

examiner can normally be reached on most weekdays from 6:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cdr

14 February 2006

CHRISTOPHER RODEE

Page 7

PRIMARY EXAMINER